



# School Leadership Appraisal System

---

Advisory Committee | February 15, 2012





# Agenda

---

## ▶ Overview of MSL

Review and Explain the Draft Measures

Discussion on Choice of Performance Measures



# Agenda

---

Overview of MSL

**▶ Review and Explain the Draft Measures**

Discussion on Choice of Performance Measures



# Elementary School Performance Measures

Performance Indicator	Grades	Percent Weight	Actual History					
			2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012
			District			Campus		
<b>Academic Achievement</b>								
<i>Student Performance</i>								
EVAAS Composite CGI	3-5		tbd	tbd				
EVAAS Mean NCE Gain over Grades	3-5		na	na				
NRT (Grds 1-5) Reading; % >=50 NPR (all students)	1-5		54.3	55.6				
NRT (Grds 1-5) Math; % >=50 NPR (all students)	1-5		60.7	67.2				
<b>Access to Success</b>								
Attendance Rate	K-5		96.3	97.0				
<b>TOTALS</b>		100%						
<b>Additional Indicators to Consider 2012-2013</b>								
STAAR: % Satisfactory Academic Performance (II+)	3-5		na	na		na	na	
STARR: % Advanced Academic Performance (III)	3-5		na	na		na	na	

**Note:** Blank white cells indicate that either no information was available, data for that year were not comparable or data has not yet been compiled.

**For School Leaders, performance targets are set annually based on campus indicators.**

**Each Early Childhood Center to be paired to an elementary campus for all indicators other than attendance, based on feeder patterns.**



# Middle School Performance Measures

Performance Indicator	Grades	Percent Weight	Actual History					
			2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012
			District			Campus		
<b>Academic Achievement</b>								
<i>Student Performance</i>								
EVAAS Composite CGI	6-8		tbd	tbd				
EVAAS Mean NCE Gain over Grades	6-8		na	na				
NRT (Grds 1-5) Reading; % >=50 NPR (all students)	6-8		39.3	39.6				
NRT (Grds 1-5) Math; % >=50 NPR (all students)	6-8		54.0	61.5				
<b>Access to Success</b>								
Attendance Rate	6-8		95.3	95.6				
Annual Dropout Rate <sup>1</sup>	7-8		<b>0.8</b>					
% enrolled passing Algebra I or Higher <sup>2</sup>	8		18.1	19.0				
<b>TOTALS</b>			100%					
<b>Additional Indicators to Consider 2012-2013</b>								
STAAR: % Satisfactory Academic Performance (II+)	6-8		na	na		na	na	
STARR: % Advanced Academic Performance (III)	6-8		na	na		na	na	

**Note:** Blank white cells indicate that either no information was available, data for that year were not comparable or data has not yet been compiled.  
**For School Leaders, performance targets are set annually based on campus indicators.**

**Dropout data lags by one year; score to be based on 2012 performance.**

<sup>1</sup> Highest Dropout Rate in Grades 7-8 among student subgroups.

<sup>2</sup> Number of 8th grade students passing Algebra I or higher divided by campus 8th grade enrollment.



# High School Performance Measures

Performance Indicator	Grades	Percent Weight	Actual History					
			2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012
			District			Campus		
<b>Academic Achievement</b>								
<b>Student Performance</b>								
EVAAS Composite CGI	9-11		tbd	tbd				
EVAAS Mean NCE Gain over Grades	11							
SAT (College Ready Benchmark) <sup>1</sup>	12		28.3	24.7				
PSAT College Readiness	10		12.5	11.9				
<b>Access to Success</b>								
Attendance Rate	9-12		92.4	92.3				
4-Yr Graduation Rate <sup>2</sup>	cohort		74.3					
4-Yr Longitudinal Dropout Rate	cohort		12.6					
% enrolled passing advanced courses <sup>3</sup>	10-12		32.9	38.2				
% enrolled passing AP/IB exam <sup>4</sup>	10-12		10.5	11.0				
<b>TOTALS</b>			100%					
<b>Additional Indicators to Consider 2012-2013</b>								
STAAR: % Satisfactory Academic Performance (II+)	9-10		na	na		na	na	
STARR: % Advanced Academic Performance (III)	9-10		na	na		na	na	
4-Yr Completion Rate	cohort							

**Note:** Blank white cells indicate that either no information was available, data for that year were not comparable or data has not yet been compiled.

**For School Leaders, performance targets are set annually based on campus indicators.**

**Graduation, Completion and Dropout data lags by one year; score to be based on 2012 performance.**

<sup>1</sup> Percent of graduating class enrollment meeting college-readiness benchmark of >= 1500 on combined SAT.

<sup>2</sup> Graduation Rate is the indicator used by AYP.

<sup>3</sup> Number of 10th-12th grade students (unduplicated count) passing an AP/IB or Dual Credit Course divided by campus 10th-12th grade enrollment).

<sup>4</sup> Number of 10th-12th grade students (unduplicated count) passing at least one AP exam (3+) or IB exam (4+) divided by campus 10th-12th grade enrollment.



# K-8 Performance Measures

Performance Indicator	Grades	Percent Weight	Actual History					
			2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012
			District			Campus		
<b>Academic Achievement</b>								
<i>Student Performance</i>								
EVAAS Composite CGI	3-8		tbd	tbd				
EVAAS Mean NCE Gain over Grades	3-8		na	na				
NRT (Grds 1-5) Reading; % >=50 NPR (all students)	1-8		49.5	50.6				
NRT (Grds 1-5) Math; % >=50 NPR (all students)	1-8		58.6	65.4				
<b>Access to Success</b>								
Attendance Rate	K-8		96.2	96.6				
Annual Dropout Rate <sup>1</sup>	7-8		<b>0.8</b>					
% enrolled passing Algebra I or Higher <sup>2</sup>	8		18.1	19.0				
<b>TOTALS</b>			100%					
<b>Additional Indicators to Consider 2012-2013</b>								
STAAR: % Satisfactory Academic Performance (II+)	3-8		na	na		na	na	
STARR: % Advanced Academic Performance (III)	3-8		na	na		na	na	

**Note:** Blank white cells indicate that either no information was available, data for that year were not comparable or data has not yet been compiled.  
**For School Leaders, performance targets are set annually based on campus indicators.**

**Dropout data lags by one year; score to be based on 2012 performance.**

<sup>1</sup> Highest Dropout Rate in Grades 7-8 among student subgroups.

<sup>2</sup> Number of 8th grade students passing Algebra I or higher divided by campus 8th grade enrollment.



# Agenda

---

Overview of MSL

Review and Explain the Draft Measures

**▶ Discussion on Choice of Performance Measures**



---

## Discussion Questions

- Should measures be choice/optional among the grade levels? If so, which ones?
- Which measures should be the same among the grade levels?
- Are there any measures that should be added, changed ,or removed?
- Are the listed measures aligned with the rubrics for all school leaders?
- Should more measures be non-negotiable?



# Wrap Up

## Today's Meeting

- Overview of the measures and the explanation of how these measures will be used determine a school leader's performance
- Provide feedback on the proposed performance measures and discuss the alignment with the school leader appraisal system.

## Preview – Upcoming Topics

- Metrics for the Student Performance Measures and Assessment
- Components of the Appraisal System and Weights
- Ratings
- Training and Support