

REPORT FROM THE SUPERINTENDENT

Office of Superintendent of Schools
Board of Education Meeting of December 11, 2008

November 24, 2008

SUBJECT: **BOARD MONITORING SYSTEM**

At the March 2007 meeting, the Board of Education approved upon first reading a revised Board Monitoring System in order to efficiently maintain and measure Houston Independent School District (HISD) goals and core values. The monitoring system was designed to give district administrators clear direction on how to meet the Board's expectations in these crucial areas. The data for the items presented reflect the revised measures.

Board Policy AF (LOCAL) requires that *"the administration shall report to the Board of Education on each goal and core value using the specific method and timing set out."* In reference to the district's **Goal 1: Increase Student Achievement**, the first attached report provides information regarding section **C: Maintain Promotion Standards**. The policy states that *"the Administration shall provide the Board of Education with two bar charts. The first shall show (for the school year completed one year ago) the total number and percentage of students meeting promotion standards during the regular school year, students not meeting promotion standards during the school year but meeting the standards after summer school, and those not meeting promotion standards either after the school year or summer school. The second bar chart shall show the number and percentage of those same students promoted and not promoted the following year."*

The Administration shall also provide a bar chart showing, for the just completed summer school session, the total number of students referred to summer school, number attending, number completing, number promoted, and number retained.

The Administration shall also provide a table showing promotion statistics, by school, including the total number of students whose promotion was considered by a grade placement committee, reflecting the total number of students promoted and retained.

The charts shall be provided to board members as an A-1 report annually in December."

This report provides the information requested for the 2007–2008 school year.



Board Monitoring System: Goal 1-Section C

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Purpose

The Houston Independent School District (HISD) exists to strengthen the social and economic foundation of Houston by assuring its youth the highest-quality elementary and secondary education available anywhere. In fulfilling this goal, the HISD Board of Education has designed a program to systematically monitor the district's goals and core values. The Board Monitoring System will report on each goal and core value on a routine basis. The goal currently under review is to MAINTAIN PROMOTION STANDARDS among students in grades 1–8 (Goal 1, Section C).


Findings

Promotion Standards


- At the end of the spring 2008 semester, 74 percent of HISD first- through eighth-graders met promotion standards compared to 73 percent in 2007. After summer school 2008, the percentage of first- through eighth-graders meeting promotion standards increased from 93 percent in 2007 to 94 percent (Figure 1).
- From 2006–2007 to 2007–2008, the percentage of students meeting promotion standards increased from 68 to 71 percent and the percentage promoted based on committee decisions decreased from 25 to 23 percent. The percentage retained because they did not meet promotion standards increased from five to six percent and the percentage retained based committee decisions decreased from two to less than one percent (Figure 2).
- Based on the students' actual fall 2008 grade status (that is, comparing the grade levels of the students who were enrolled in the 2007–2008 school year with their grade level in fall 2008), 85 percent were promoted, four percent were retained, and 11 percent did not return. By comparison in fall 2007, 82 percent were promoted, four percent were retained, and 13 percent did not return. (Figure 3) Among students who did return in both years, 95 percent were promoted and five percent were retained.
- Among the 30,047 spring 2008 students referred to summer school, 77 percent met promotion standards and were promoted to the next grade. Fifteen percent, or 4,506 students, did not meet promotion standards and were retained in the same grade for the 2008–2009 school year. Eight percent, or 2,485 students, did not attend summer school. In 2007, 32,030 were referred to summer school: 76 percent were promoted, 14 percent were retained, and 10 percent did not return (Figure 4).
- The schools with 100 percent promotion rates based either strictly on promotion standards or through committee decision were Energized for Excellence, North Alternative, Project Chrysalis, and The Rice School Middle Schools. The following schools had a promotion rate of 99 percent: Energized for Excellence, Macario Garcia, Paul Horn, Jennie Kolter, Oak Forest, Edgar Poe, The Rice School, River Oaks, T.H. Rogers, Mark Twain, and West University Elementary Schools; and Briarmeadow Charter, Ruby Clifton, Thomas Edison, Alexander Hamilton, Ima Hogg, Sidney Lanier, Pin Oak, T.H. Rogers, and West Briar Middle Schools.

BOARD OF EDUCATION MONITORING SYSTEM: 2008–2009


- Traditional schools with a high percentage of students retained were Cecile Foerster Elementary School (22.1percent), Buchanan Grimes Elementary School (17.5 percent), Albert Thomas Middle School (17.3 percent), and Marcellus Foster Elementary School (16.7 percent). A number of alternative or charter schools also had high retention rates, but this was often due to low enrollment.




**TARGETS: SPRING PROMOTION – 90%
SUMMER PROMOTION – 98.5%**



NOTE: PROMOTION STANDARDS DATA FOR EACH SCHOOL ARE PRESENTED STARTING ON PAGE 4.



NOTE: 2007 PERCENTAGES DO NOT TOTAL 100% DUE TO ROUNDING.



THE NUMBER OF STUDENTS ATTENDING SUMMER SCHOOL (28,740 IN 2007 AND 27,562 IN 2008) IS THE SAME AS THE NUMBER COMPLETING SUMMER SCHOOL AS THE DATA FILE DOES NOT DISTINGUISH BETWEEN THE TWO.

HISD Promotion and Retention Spring and Summer 2007-2008 Elementary Schools

School Name	Promotion Standards			Committee Decision *				Total Results			
	Total N's	Promoted N's	Retained N's	Total N's	Promoted N's	Retained N's		Total N's	Promoted N's	Retained N's	%
Alcott	308	274	34	66	66	0		374	340	34	9.1
Allen	215	192	23	22	22	0		237	214	23	9.7
Almeda	484	439	45	73	73	0		557	512	45	8.1
Anderson	488	452	36	62	62	0		550	514	36	6.5
Ashford	242	231	11	15	15	0		257	246	11	4.3
Askew	572	534	38	113	112	1		685	646	39	5.7
Atherton	232	227	5	30	30	0		262	257	5	1.9
Barrick	464	443	21	80	80	0		544	523	21	3.9
Bastian	356	313	43	153	153	0		509	466	43	8.4
Bell	522	503	19	72	72	0		594	575	19	3.2
Bellfort Academy	158	141	17	130	130	0		288	271	17	5.9
Benavidez	625	537	88	123	123	0		748	660	88	11.8
Benbrook	295	283	12	74	73	1		369	356	13	3.5
Berry	324	291	33	114	114	0		438	405	33	7.5
Blackshear	210	176	34	46	46	0		256	222	34	13.3
Bonham	436	378	58	290	289	1		726	667	59	8.1
Bonner	522	483	39	68	68	0		590	551	39	6.6
Braeburn	563	521	42	141	141	0		704	662	42	6.0
Briargrove	623	612	11	50	50	0		673	662	11	1.6
Briarmeadow Charter	263	250	13	17	17	0		280	267	13	4.6
Briscoe	356	340	16	26	26	0		382	366	16	4.2
Brookline	607	556	51	130	129	1		737	685	52	7.1
Browning	289	264	25	68	67	1		357	331	26	7.3
Bruce	336	292	44	79	79	0		415	371	44	10.6
Burbank ES	571	544	27	49	49	0		620	593	27	4.4
Burnet	467	434	33	68	68	0		535	502	33	6.2
Burrus	220	207	13	33	33	0		253	240	13	5.1
Bush	481	475	6	24	23	1		505	498	7	1.4
Cage	454	428	26	25	25	0		479	453	26	5.4
Carrillo	467	446	21	43	43	0		510	489	21	4.1
Codwell	448	414	34	67	66	1		515	480	35	6.8
Condit	464	457	7	37	37	0		501	494	7	1.4

Percentages are based on the total number of students promoted or retained. These figures do not include students with incorrect codes.
Source: Chancery Promotion Standards Extract (PSE) File 11/06/08
* Includes Grade Placement Committee, ARD, and Attendance Committee.

HISD Promotion and Retention Spring and Summer 2007-2008 Elementary Schools

School Name	Promotion Standards			Committee Decision *			Total Results		
	Total N's	Promoted N's	Retained N's	Total N's	Promoted N's	Retained N's	Total N's	Promoted N's	Retained N's
Cook	456	415	41	60	60	0	516	475	41
Coop	450	421	29	83	83	0	533	504	29
Cornelius	646	598	48	37	37	0	683	635	48
Crawford	135	123	12	47	47	0	182	170	12
Crespo	564	520	44	69	69	0	633	589	44
Crockett	236	218	18	50	50	0	286	268	18
Cunningham	445	422	23	42	42	0	487	464	23
Daily	419	391	28	55	55	0	474	446	28
Davila	400	376	24	122	122	0	522	498	24
De Chaumes	409	380	29	57	57	0	466	437	29
De Zavala	397	387	10	23	23	0	420	410	10
Dodson	259	216	43	63	63	0	322	279	43
Dogan	176	150	26	40	40	0	216	190	26
Durham	307	285	22	32	32	0	339	317	22
Durkee	462	434	28	81	80	1	543	514	29
Eliot	345	320	25	39	39	0	384	359	25
Elrod	311	274	37	102	102	0	413	376	37
Emerson	426	384	42	89	89	0	515	473	42
Energized for Excellence Acad ES	727	723	4	81	81	0	808	804	4
Field	203	171	32	70	68	2	273	239	34
Foerster	403	289	114	113	113	0	516	402	114
Fondren ES	255	222	33	82	82	0	337	304	33
Foster	286	230	56	49	49	0	335	279	56
Franklin	322	289	33	97	97	0	419	386	33
Frost	304	264	40	74	74	0	378	338	40
Gallegos	386	364	22	21	21	0	407	385	22
Garcia	430	427	3	156	156	0	586	583	3
Garden Oaks	251	241	10	62	62	0	313	303	10
Garden Villas	588	553	35	139	139	0	727	692	35
Golfcrest	390	354	36	108	108	0	498	462	36
Gordon	223	207	16	36	36	0	259	243	16
Gregg	345	315	30	39	39	0	384	354	30

Percentages are based on the total number of students promoted or retained. These figures do not include students with incorrect codes.
Source: Chancery Promotion Standards Extract (PSE) File 11/06/08

* Includes Grade Placement Committee, ARD, and Attendance Committee.

HISD Promotion and Retention Spring and Summer 2007-2008 Elementary Schools

School Name	Promotion Standards			Committee Decision *				Total Results			
	Total N's	Promoted N's	Retained N's	Total N's	Promoted N's	Retained N's	%	Total N's	Promoted N's	Retained N's	%
Gregory-Lincoln ES	139	108	31	58	58	0		197	166	84.3	31 15.7
Grimes	229	183	46	56	52	4		285	235	82.5	50 17.5
Grissom	417	399	18	195	195	0		612	594	97.1	18 2.9
Gross	558	530	28	97	97	0		655	627	95.7	28 4.3
Halpin Center	1	0	1	0	0	0		1	0	0.0	1 100.0
Harris, J. R.	339	323	16	128	128	0		467	451	96.6	16 3.4
Harris, R. P.	274	253	21	87	87	0		361	340	94.2	21 5.8
Hartsfield	209	178	31	47	47	0		256	225	87.9	31 12.1
Harvard	408	401	7	19	19	0		427	420	98.4	7 1.6
Helms	291	273	18	53	53	0		344	326	94.8	18 5.2
Henderson, J. P.	481	452	29	60	60	0		541	512	94.6	29 5.4
Henderson, N. Q.	205	189	16	29	29	0		234	218	93.2	16 6.8
Herod	496	476	20	49	49	0		545	525	96.3	20 3.7
Herrera	672	635	37	62	62	0		734	697	95.0	37 5.0
Highland Heights	167	142	25	52	52	0		219	194	88.6	25 11.4
Hines-Caldwell	592	566	26	70	70	0		662	636	96.1	26 3.9
Hobby	474	412	62	93	93	0		567	505	89.1	62 10.9
Hohl	314	286	28	31	31	0		345	317	91.9	28 8.1
Horn	417	416	1	28	27	1		445	443	99.6	2 0.4
Houston Gardens	235	217	18	52	51	1		287	268	93.4	19 6.6
Isaacs	234	221	13	53	53	0		287	274	95.5	13 4.5
Janowski	307	269	38	90	85	5		397	354	89.2	43 10.8
Jefferson	283	264	19	142	142	0		425	406	95.5	19 4.5
Jones, J. W.	185	181	4	38	38	0		223	219	98.2	4 1.8
Kashmere Gardens	209	183	26	22	22	0		231	205	88.7	26 11.3
Kelso	346	326	20	63	63	0		409	389	95.1	20 4.9
Kennedy	354	343	11	22	22	0		376	365	97.1	11 2.9
Ketelsen	318	286	32	103	103	0		421	389	92.4	32 7.6
Kolter	394	392	2	20	20	0		414	412	99.5	2 0.5
Lantrip	444	421	23	28	28	0		472	449	95.1	23 4.9
Law	344	320	24	78	78	0		422	398	94.3	24 5.7
Lewis	434	397	37	26	26	0		460	423	92.0	37 8.0

Percentages are based on the total number of students promoted or retained. These figures do not include students with incorrect codes.
Source: Chancery Promotion Standards Extract (PSE) File 11/06/08
* Includes Grade Placement Committee, ARD, and Attendance Committee.

HISD Promotion and Retention Spring and Summer 2007-2008 Elementary Schools

School Name	Promotion Standards			Committee Decision *				Total Results			
	Total N's	Promoted N's	Retained N's	Total N's	Promoted N's	Retained N's		Total N's	Promoted N's	Retained N's	
Lockhart	339	325	14	23	23	0		362	348	96.1	14 3.9
Longfellow	490	463	27	76	76	0		566	539	95.2	27 4.8
Looscan	261	247	14	78	78	0		339	325	95.9	14 4.1
Love	246	237	9	46	46	0		292	283	96.9	9 3.1
Lovett	545	526	19	17	17	0		562	543	96.6	19 3.4
Lyons	580	539	41	88	88	0		668	627	93.9	41 6.1
MacArthur	212	186	26	44	44	0		256	230	89.8	26 10.2
MacGregor	295	282	13	7	7	0		302	289	95.7	13 4.3
Mading	365	329	36	44	44	0		409	373	91.2	36 8.8
Martinez, C.	364	345	19	62	62	0		426	407	95.5	19 4.5
Martinez, R.	288	230	58	118	118	0		406	348	85.7	58 14.3
McDade	197	169	28	58	58	0		255	227	89.0	28 11.0
McNamara	339	315	24	178	178	0		517	493	95.4	24 4.6
Memorial	202	185	17	35	35	0		237	220	92.8	17 7.2
Milne	409	368	41	83	83	0		492	451	91.7	41 8.3
Mitchell	627	614	13	190	190	0		817	804	98.4	13 1.6
Montgomery	465	422	43	84	84	0		549	506	92.2	43 7.8
Moreno	434	414	20	147	147	0		581	561	96.6	20 3.4
Neff	598	564	34	172	172	0		770	736	95.6	34 4.4
North Alternative ES	21	12	9	14	14	0		35	26	74.3	9 25.7
Northline	378	338	40	118	118	0		496	456	91.9	40 8.1
Oak Forest	566	563	3	25	25	0		591	588	99.5	3 0.5
Oates	275	259	16	45	45	0		320	304	95.0	16 5.0
Osborne	255	238	17	24	24	0		279	262	93.9	17 6.1
Paige	215	184	31	41	41	0		256	225	87.9	31 12.1
Park Place	569	516	53	112	112	0		681	628	92.2	53 7.8
Parker	592	569	23	38	38	0		630	607	96.3	23 3.7
Patterson	582	548	34	63	63	0		645	611	94.7	34 5.3
Peck	154	137	17	47	47	0		201	184	91.5	17 8.5
Petersen	383	366	17	70	70	0		453	436	96.2	17 3.8
Pilgrim	565	532	33	25	25	0		590	557	94.4	33 5.6
Piney Point	540	492	48	142	132	10		682	624	91.5	58 8.5

Percentages are based on the total number of students promoted or retained. These figures do not include students with incorrect codes.
Source: Chancery Promotion Standards Extract (PSE) File 11/06/08
* Includes Grade Placement Committee, ARD, and Attendance Committee.

HISD Promotion and Retention Spring and Summer 2007-2008 Elementary Schools

School Name	Promotion Standards			Committee Decision *				Total Results			
	Total N's	Promoted N's	Retained N's	Total N's	Promoted N's	Retained N's	Total N's	Promoted N's	Retained N's	Total N's	%
Pleasant Hill	49	30	19	1	1	0	50	31	19	50	38.0
Pleasantville	204	199	5	64	63	1	268	262	6	268	2.2
Poe	519	516	3	62	62	0	581	578	3	581	0.5
Port Houston	172	165	7	47	47	0	219	212	7	219	3.2
Pugh	296	263	33	8	8	0	304	271	33	304	10.9
Red	329	295	34	43	43	0	372	338	34	372	9.1
Reynolds	295	249	46	33	33	0	328	282	46	328	14.0
Rhoads	174	153	21	31	31	0	205	184	21	205	10.2
Rice School ES	512	511	1	44	44	0	556	555	1	556	0.2
River Oaks	541	538	3	15	15	0	556	553	3	556	0.5
Roberts	489	482	7	26	26	0	515	508	7	515	1.4
Robinson	418	366	52	152	152	0	570	518	52	570	9.1
Rodriguez	544	518	26	180	180	0	724	698	26	724	3.6
Rogers, T. H. ES	220	218	2	73	73	0	293	291	2	293	0.7
Roosevelt	331	313	18	69	69	0	400	382	18	400	4.5
Ross	258	251	7	29	29	0	287	280	7	287	2.4
Rucker	444	411	33	91	91	0	535	502	33	535	6.2
Rusk	193	163	30	66	66	0	259	229	30	259	11.6
St. John's Academy	15	13	2	0	0	0	15	13	2	15	13.3
Sanchez	433	411	22	46	46	0	479	457	22	479	4.6
Sands Point	125	112	13	33	33	0	158	145	13	158	8.2
Scarborough ES	526	487	39	55	55	0	581	542	39	581	6.7
School at St. George Place	244	233	11	51	51	0	295	284	11	295	3.7
Scott	203	185	18	11	11	0	214	196	18	214	8.4
Scroggins	407	359	48	38	38	0	445	397	48	445	10.8
Seguin	420	397	23	39	39	0	459	436	23	459	5.0
Shadowbriar	298	286	12	111	111	0	409	397	12	409	2.9
Shearn	212	188	24	88	88	0	300	276	24	300	8.0
Sherman	341	311	30	57	57	0	398	368	30	398	7.5
Sinclair	315	278	37	56	55	1	371	333	38	371	10.2
Smith, E. O. ES	76	72	4	22	22	0	98	94	4	98	4.1
Smith, K.	541	474	67	95	95	0	636	569	67	636	10.5

Percentages are based on the total number of students promoted or retained. These figures do not include students with incorrect codes.
Source: Chancery Promotion Standards Extract (PSE) File 11/06/08
* Includes Grade Placement Committee, ARD, and Attendance Committee.

HISD Promotion and Retention Spring and Summer 2007-2008 Elementary Schools

School Name	Promotion Standards			Committee Decision *				Total Results			
	Total N's	Promoted N's	Retained N's	Total N's	Promoted N's	Retained N's	%	Total N's	Promoted N's	Retained N's	%
South Alt.	33	16	17	7	7	0		40	23	17	42.5
Southmayd	399	367	32	33	33	0		432	400	32	7.4
Stevens	375	338	37	91	91	0		466	429	37	7.9
Stevenson ES	203	192	11	30	30	0		233	222	11	4.7
Sugar Grove	218	195	23	52	52	0		270	247	23	8.5
Sutton	633	580	53	133	132	1		766	712	54	7.0
Thompson	345	296	49	100	100	0		445	396	49	11.0
Tijerina	341	325	16	128	128	0		469	453	16	3.4
Tinsley	597	540	57	102	102	0		699	642	57	8.2
Travis	488	473	15	41	41	0		529	514	15	2.8
TSU Charter Lab School	24	22	2	1	1	0		25	23	2	8.0
Turner	140	121	19	41	41	0		181	162	19	10.5
Twain	556	552	4	46	46	0		602	598	4	0.7
Valley West	414	398	16	50	50	0		464	448	16	3.4
Wainwright	428	390	38	82	82	0		510	472	38	7.5
Walnut Bend	405	347	58	89	89	0		494	436	58	11.7
Wesley	281	242	39	30	30	0		311	272	39	12.5
West University	771	766	5	21	21	0		792	787	5	0.6
Wharton	234	226	8	14	14	0		248	240	8	3.2
Whidby	301	263	38	100	100	0		401	363	38	9.5
White	501	474	27	75	75	0		576	549	27	4.7
Whittier	356	328	28	20	20	0		376	348	28	7.4
Wilson	38	33	5	219	219	0		257	252	5	1.9
Windsor Village	458	432	26	125	125	0		583	557	26	4.5
Woodson ES	113	98	15	47	47	0		160	145	15	9.4
Young	201	158	43	124	124	0		325	282	43	13.2
Young Scholars Alt.	98	92	6	8	8	0		106	100	6	5.7

Percentages are based on the total number of students promoted or retained. These figures do not include students with incorrect codes.
Source: Chancery Promotion Standards Extract (PSE) File 11/06/08
* Includes Grade Placement Committee, ARD, and Attendance Committee.

HISD Promotion and Retention Spring and Summer 2007-2008 Middle Schools

School Name	Promotion Standards			Committee Decision *			Total Results		
	Total	Promoted	Retained	Total	Promoted	Retained	Total	Promoted	Retained
	N's	N's	N's	N's	N's	N's	N's	%	N's %
Attucks MS	240	206	34	447	431	16	687	92.7	50 7.3
Black MS	251	219	32	320	292	28	571	89.5	60 10.5
Briarmeadow MS	109	108	1	14	14	0	123	99.2	1 0.8
Burbank MS	736	720	16	396	396	0	1,132	98.6	16 1.4
Clifton MS	696	686	10	302	302	0	998	99.0	10 1.0
CLC MS	30	7	23	2	2	0	32	28.1	23 71.9
Cullen MS	301	238	63	325	324	1	626	89.8	64 10.2
Deady MS	379	325	54	540	540	0	919	865 94.1	54 5.9
Dominion Academy MS	67	65	2	11	11	0	78	97.4	2 2.6
Dowling MS	837	785	52	766	762	4	1,603	96.5	56 3.5
Edison MS	695	686	9	175	175	0	870	861 99.0	9 1.0
Energized for Excellence Acad MS	167	167	0	4	4	0	171	100.0	0 0.0
Fleming MS	322	274	48	177	176	1	499	90.2	49 9.8
Fondren MS	235	200	35	497	497	0	732	95.2	35 4.8
Fonville MS	567	500	67	486	485	1	1,053	93.5	68 6.5
Grady MS	216	209	7	264	263	1	480	98.3	8 1.7
Gregory-Lincoln MS	139	132	7	157	157	0	296	289 97.6	7 2.4
Hamilton MS	942	932	10	355	355	0	1,297	99.2	10 0.8
Hartman MS	1,472	1,414	58	60	60	0	1,532	96.2	58 3.8
Henry MS	398	372	26	588	588	0	986	97.4	26 2.6
Hogg MS	396	389	7	400	400	0	796	789 99.1	7 0.9
Holland MS	328	297	31	442	442	0	770	96.0	31 4.0
Jackson MS	653	626	27	292	292	0	945	97.1	27 2.9
Johnston MS	930	884	46	398	398	0	1,328	96.5	46 3.5
Kaleidoscope MS	69	65	4	14	14	0	83	79 95.2	4 4.8
Key MS	311	252	59	298	298	0	609	550 90.3	59 9.7
Lanier MS	1,107	1,100	7	179	179	0	1,286	1,279 99.5	7 0.5
Las Americas MS	107	97	10	21	21	0	128	118 92.2	10 7.8
Long MS	693	546	147	407	407	0	1,100	953 86.6	147 13.4
Marshall MS	323	294	29	457	457	0	780	751 96.3	29 3.7
McReynolds MS	342	324	18	217	217	0	559	541 96.8	18 3.2
North Alternative MS	0	0	0	6	6	0	6	100.0	0 0.0

Percentages are based on the total number of students promoted or retained. These figures do not include students with incorrect codes.
Source: Chancery Promotion Standards Extract (PSE) File 11/06/08
* Includes Grade Placement Committee, ARD, and Attendance Committee.

HISD Promotion and Retention Spring and Summer 2007-2008 Middle Schools

School Name	Promotion Standards			Committee Decision *				Total Results			
	Total N's	Promoted N's	Retained N's	Total N's	Promoted N's	Retained N's		Total N's	Promoted N's	Retained N's	%
Ortiz MS	536	510	26	492	492	0		1,028	1,002	26	2.5
Pershing MS	1,168	1,141	27	533	523	10		1,701	1,664	37	2.2
Pin Oak MS	901	893	8	226	225	1		1,127	1,118	9	0.8
Project Chrysalis MS	124	124	0	12	12	0		136	136	0	0.0
Revere MS	369	278	91	590	590	0		959	868	91	9.5
Rice School MS	406	406	0	62	62	0		468	468	0	0.0
Rogers, T.H. MS	321	320	1	58	58	0		379	378	1	0.3
Ryan MS	156	131	25	312	312	0		468	443	25	5.3
Sharpstown MS	467	437	30	511	511	0		978	948	30	3.1
Smith, E. O. MS	187	176	11	148	148	0		335	324	11	3.3
Stevenson MS	1,060	1,029	31	111	111	0		1,171	1,140	31	2.6
Thomas MS	335	229	106	276	276	0		611	505	106	17.3
WALIPP MS	74	71	3	27	27	0		101	98	3	3.0
Welch MS	721	682	39	532	532	0		1,253	1,214	39	3.1
West Briar MS	1,009	997	12	363	363	0		1,372	1,360	12	0.9
Williams MS	165	138	27	243	243	0		408	381	27	6.6
Woodson MS	414	344	70	325	323	2		739	667	72	9.7

Percentages are based on the total number of students promoted or retained. These figures do not include students with incorrect codes.
Source: Chancery Promotion Standards Extract (PSE) File 11/06/08

* Includes Grade Placement Committee, ARD, and Attendance Committee.

Combined Schools

School Name	Promotion Standards				Committee Decision *				Total Results			
	Total N's	Promoted		Retained	Total N's	Promoted		Retained	Total N's	Promoted		Retained
		N's	%			N's	%			N's	%	
Carter Career Center	7	4	3	11	9	2	18	13	72.2	5	27.8	
CEP SE	344	132	212	181	179	2	525	311	59.2	214	40.8	
CEP SW	330	117	213	157	156	1	487	273	56.1	214	43.9	
Community Services	67	52	15	19	9	10	86	61	70.9	25	29.1	
Harper Alt.	8	6	2	29	27	2	37	33	89.2	4	10.8	
JJAEP	53	1	52	4	4	0	57	5	8.8	52	91.2	
Kandy Stripe Academy	221	211	10	23	23	0	244	234	95.9	10	4.1	
Pro-Vision	47	36	11	54	54	0	101	90	89.1	11	10.9	
SOAR Centers (Spec. Ed.)	44	0	44	0	0	0	44	0	0.0	44	100.0	

* Includes Grade Placement Committee, ARD, and Attendance Committee.