



Project Advisory Team

Meeting Minutes

Sharpstown High School

MEETING NO.: 008

LOCATION: Sharpstown High School

DATE / TIME: October 30, 2013 @ 4:30 PM

ATTENDEES: Robert Gasparello, Principal; Julio Morales, Teacher; Rev. Morris, Community Member; Samuel Spiller, Teacher; Michael Mitchell, Teacher; Jason Thomson, Teacher; Gina Sabol, Teacher; Kaala Hentz, Teacher; Joe Mumbach, Community Member; Daniel Alvarez, Student Representative; Natalie Adams, Teacher; Muhammad Vzair, Student Representative; Douglas Lacy, HISD – Project Manager; David Funk, HISD – Facilities Planning; Robert Myers, HISD – Design Manager; Lina Sabouni, AUTOARCH, Architects; Ronnie Biediger, Munoz & Co., Architects; Casey Annuzio, Munoz & Co., Architects; Michael Sabouni, AUTOARCH, Architects; Vergel Gay, Vergel Gay & Associates; Geoff Edwards, Munoz & Co.

PURPOSE: The purpose of the meeting was to review the progress of the design schematics and preparation for the Community Meeting.

AGENDA ITEMS:

- A. Advisory Team Meeting Dates.
- B. Community Meeting Date: 06 November 2013
- C. Munoz & Co. / AUTOARCH, Architects Presentation

NOTES:

1. The dates for upcoming Project Advisory Team Meetings were identified and accepted by the team. They are as follows:
 - a. Wednesday, November 20, 2013
 - b. Tuesday, November 26, 2013 (Doug, is this correct???? I don't have an outlook invite for this and it is not on the bond website calendar.
 - c. Wednesday, December 11, 2013 – Again, I don't have this on my outlook calendar and it is not on the bond website calendar
2. The Community Meeting is set for November 6, 2013 at the Sharpstown High School Library. The time for the meeting is 6:00 PM.
3. Munoz & Co., the Architects for the Sharpstown HS project presented an overview of the development of the design schematics based on the discussion from the Design Charrette. Further study was requested by HISD to Munoz / AUTOARCH to ensure that all aspects of the three and two story schemes have been addressed and expressed visually to the PAT prior to formally accepting a scheme.
 - a. The three level scheme was presented. The scheme identifies two neighborhoods on the First Floor with the Administration Area and CTE's making up an additional neighborhood. The Kitchen / Cafeteria and Mechanical support spaces make up a forth neighborhood. Also along the first floor area adjacent to the mall are the two

- Gymnasiums, the JROTC, and the Auditorium with the Fine Arts classrooms. The Second Floor consists of four additional neighborhoods and the Library across the Mall. The Third Floor has four additional neighborhoods.
- b. The two level scheme was presented. The scheme identifies the identical Administration Neighborhood and the Cafeteria / Mechanical Service along with the Gymnasium and Auditorium neighborhoods as presented on the three level scheme. However, there are two additional neighborhoods on the first floor level which spreads the floor out further onto the site. The Amphitheater which is present on the three level scheme moves from the North of the Gymnasium to an area North of the Fine Arts area between this area and the Gymnasium, which appears to be more central to the site. The Second Floor consists of six additional neighborhoods along the Mall Corridor with the Library across the Mall.
4. During their presentation of the two schemes the Architects discussed with the PAT the advantages of the two level vs. the three level scheme. Which are as follows:
 - a. The three story scheme allows for each academic neighborhood on each level to receive natural light.
 - b. Another advantage with the three story scheme was the Administration space is positioned in a central location to allow for control and monitoring of all entry points and direct supervision to the Lobby / Mall space.
 - c. The two story plan, however, allows for better zoning separation for activities and the twilight program.
 - d. The two story Plan will have less code restrictions relating to the mall classification possibly as an atrium space, more so than the three level plan. Smoke dampers fire separation requirements etc., will drive up the cost for the three level plan.
 - e. The school administration also prefers the two story plan for easy of monitoring students and reducing travel time between classes.
 5. The PAT team agreed the two level scheme would be the ideal option for the school and directed Munoz / AUTOARCH to further develop that design.

ACTION ITEMS:

- 1-01 Transition to the Design Development Phase by presenting ideas to the PAT for the Exterior Elevations and developing the building mass.

NEXT REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING:

Wednesday, November 20, 2013 @ 4:30 PM at the Library of Sharpstown High School

Please review the meeting minutes and submit any changes or corrections to Douglas Lacy.
After five (5) days, the minutes will be assumed to be accurate.

Respectfully,

Douglas Lacy
2012 HISD Bond Program, Project Manager
HISD – Construction & Facility Services
3200 Center Street, Houston, TX 77007
Phone: (713) 556-9338